

**REMARKS**

The Applicant's Attorney wishes to thank the Examiner for the courteous interview extended in connection with the present application, including his discussion with the Supervisory Patent Examiner. In the interview with the Examiner, it was pointed out that since the allowable subject matter of claim 6 was incorporated into claim 1, since the allowable subject matter of claim 7 was incorporated into claim 1 and rewritten as newly added claim 21 and since the allowable subject matter of claim 10 was incorporated into claim 1 and rewritten as newly added claim 22, all of the claims in the present application which are made to be dependent from any of the above indicated allowable claims should also be allowable. After a reconsideration of their position, the USPTO Examiner has graciously agreed to allow the claims of the present application, presuming that claim 20 is cancelled.

Since claim 20 has been cancelled by the present proposed Amendment, it is now believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the non-entry of the proposed Amendment filed in the USPTO on December 12, 2005 and entry of the present proposed Amendment are respectfully requested as placing the present application into condition for allowance. A favorable action is respectfully requested.

Dated: February 7, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant